sub nom Kirk v. Mullen, 749 F.2d 297 (6th Cir. 1984).

Consequently, Judge Randall recommended that if the Deputy Administrator determines that the DEA precedent remains viable, Respondent's DEA Certificate of Registration should be revoked.

The Deputy Administrator agrees with Judge Randall that the plain language of U.S.C. 824(a)(3) states that a DEA registration may be revoked if a registrant's state authorization is revoked, suspended, or denied by competent state authority. However, this leaves DEA in a dilemma since pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(f), DEA can only register a practitioner if he is authorized by the state to handle controlled substances, and there is no provision in the statute to deal with situations where a practitioner is no longer authorized by the state, yet his state registration was not revoked, suspended, or denied.

Since state authorization was clearly intended to be a prerequisite to DEA registration, Congress could not have intended for DEA to maintain a registration if a registrant is no longer authorized by the state in which he practices to handle controlled substances due to the expiration of his state license. Therefore, it is reasonable for DEA to interpret that 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3) would allow for the revocation of a DEA Certificate of Registration where, as here, a registrant's state authorization has expired.

Therefore, the Deputy Administrator concludes that Respondent is not currently authorized to handle controlled substances in New Mexico, and that consistent with DEA precedent, DEA cannot maintain his registration in that state.

Since DEA does not have the authority to maintain Respondent's DEA registration because he is not currently authorized to handle controlled substances in New Mexico, the Deputy Administrator concludes that it is unnecessary to determine whether Respondent's DEA registration should be revoked based upon the other grounds alleged in the Order to Show Cause.

Accordingly, the Deputy
Administrator of the Drug Enforcement
Administration, pursuant to the
authority vested in him by 21 U.S.C. 823
and 824 and 28 CFR 0.100(b) and 0.104,
hereby orders that DEA Certificate of
Registration BL 1242750, previously
issued to William D. Levitt, D.O., be,
and it hereby is, revoked. The Deputy
Administrator further orders that any
pending applications for renewal of
such registration, be, and they hereby

are, denied. This order is effective October 14, 1999.

Dated: August 24, 1999.

Donnie R. Marshall,

Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 99–23668 Filed 9–13–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: 2:30 p.m., Thursday, September 16, 1999.

PLACE: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314–3428.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- Proposed Amendment to IRPS 99–
 Establishing Low-Income Member Service Requirement.
- 2. Two (2) Requests from Federal Credit Unions to Convert to Community Charters.
- 3. Request from a Corporate Federal Credit Union for a National Field of Membership Amendment.
- 4. Request for a Merger of Two Corporate Federal Credit Unions.
- 5. Proposed Rule: Amendment to Part 701, NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Share Overdraft Accounts.
- 6. Proposed Rule: Amendments to Parts 724 and 745, NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Individual Retirement Accounts in Puerto Rico Federal Credit Unions.
- 7. Board Resolution to Clarify Board Policy and Agency Procedures on Community Charter Conversions as per IRPS 99–1.

RECESS: 3:45 p.m.

TIME AND DATE: 4:00 p.m., Thursday, September 16, 1999.

PLACE: Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314–3428.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- 1. Administrative Action under Part 704 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations. Closed pursuant to exemption (8).
- 2. Two (2) Personnel Matters. Closed pursuant to exemptions (2) and (6).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Becky Baker, Secretary of the Board, Telephone (703) 518–6304.

Becky Baker,

Secretary of the Board.
[FR Doc. 99–24036 Filed 9–10–99; 1:01 pm]
BILLING CODE 7535–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 40-7580]

Notice of Consideration of Amendment Request for Construction of a Containment Cell at Fansteel Facility in Muskogee, Oklahoma and Opportunity for Hearing

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of consideration of amendment request for construction of a containment cell at Fansteel Facility in Muskogee, Oklahoma and opportunity for hearing.

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the NRC) is considering an amendment to Source Material License No. SMB-911, issued to Fansteel, Inc. (the licensee), for construction of a lowlevel, radioactive waste (LLW) disposal cell (containment cell) onsite at Fansteel's facility in Muskogee, Oklahoma. The containment cell would be used for permanent disposal of Fansteel's own LLW, i.e., contaminated soil and soil-like materials, generated from past and current metal recovery operations at the Muskogee, Oklahoma facility. The licensee requested the amendment in a letter dated August 13, 1999.

The Fansteel site is in active operation for the recovery of tantalum, niobium, scandium, uranium, thorium, and other metals of commercial value from process waste residues. Process waste residues and contaminated soil at the Fansteel site are the result of past operations involving acid digestion of foreign and domestic ores and slags containing natural uranium and thorium. The licensee is not scheduled to terminate License SMB–911 until after 10 to 12 years of additional waste residue reprocessing.

The contaminated soil onsite consists of over 0.68 million cubic feet of soil and soil-like material, e.g., building rubble, that are contaminated with natural uranium and thorium. Metal recovery operations are not feasible on this large volume of dilute, contaminated soil; therefore, these materials require disposal at an appropriate LLW disposal facility. The licensee has proposed to construct a containment cell, located at the southwest of the Fansteel property for disposal of its LLW. In accordance with the NRC's criteria for license termination (10 CFR 20.1403), the containment cell area would, after completion of disposal, be released for restricted use and be subject to long-